# Current modulator based on topological insulator with sliding magnetic superlattice

Motohiko Ezawa<sup>1</sup> and Jiadong Zang<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Physics, University of Tokyo, Hongo 7-3-1, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

(Received 29 January 2010; revised manuscript received 23 March 2010; published 14 May 2010)

We study theoretically the surface of a topological insulator with a sliding magnetic superlattice coated above. By analyzing time-dependent Dirac equations, the dynamics of the zero mode is investigated. When the superlattice's sliding velocity is smaller (larger) than the Fermi velocity of topological insulator, the zero mode is perfectly (imperfectly) pumped. We also propose the application of this setup, which rectifies currents or generates pulse currents.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.81.193302

PACS number(s): 85.75.-d, 73.23.-b, 73.25.+i, 85.80.Jm

## I. INTRODUCTION

Topological insulator<sup>1-4</sup> is a new state of matter with insulating bulk and metallic surfaces. The gapless surface is protected by the topology, and persisting even in the presence of disorder as long as time-reversal symmetry is respected. Both theory and experiment have established that this surface is not a conventional metal, but a helical liquid. As a result, a dissipationless spin current can be realized on the surface.

When time-reversal symmetry is broken on the surface, even richer properties of topological insulator emerges, such as monopoles<sup>5</sup> and chiral Majorana fermions.<sup>6</sup> When a magnetic domain wall is attached on the surface, a chiral mode is generated inside the wall, which shares the same physics as Jackiw and Rebbi's zero mode.<sup>7</sup> In this sense, topological insulator bridges between condensed-matter physics and high-energy physics. Many unrealized phenomenon in highenergy physics are promisingly addressed experimentally in topological insulator.

However, potential applications of topological insulator are seldom mentioned. Let us consider a magnetic domainwall lattice created on its surface. Then, domain walls generate series of chiral zero modes, which are treated as quantum wires. By the motion of these domains, we can tune the electronic signals.

In this Brief Report, we find a dissipationless transverse current induced by a magnetic superlattice attached onto a topological insulator by solving time-dependent Dirac equations. The behavior changes drastically whether the sliding velocity of the superlattice is larger or smaller than the velocity of the Dirac particle. The transverse current is perfectly pumped when we apply a slowly moving magnetic lattice (adiabatic quantum pumping) and imperfectly pumped when we apply a fast moving lattice (nonadiabatic quantum pumping). We also suggest an application of this setup to a current modulator, which converts between direct, alternating, and repulse currents.

## II. MODEL

Our system consists of a three-dimensional topological insulator with a ferromagnet attached onto it (Fig. 1). Electrons obey two-dimensional Dirac equations on the surface of a topological insulator, as has been demonstrated by the spin- and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy.<sup>8</sup> In the presence of a ferromagnetic layer on top of a topological insulator, the Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \hbar v_{\rm F} (k_x \sigma_x + k_v \sigma_v) + \mu_B \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \sigma, \tag{1}$$

where  $v_{\rm F}$  is the Fermi velocity of Dirac fermions, which is  $3 \times 10^5$  m/s for Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>,<sup>9</sup>  $\sigma_i$  are the Pauli matrices,  $\mu_B$  is the Bohr magneton, and  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x},t)$  represents the strength of the exchange coupling. It has been argued<sup>10–12</sup> that the in-plane magnetic field contributes only tiny effects to the transport property of a topological insulator. Namely, it is a good approximation that  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x},t)$  has only the *z* component,  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x},t) = [0,0,M(x,t)]$ . Let us assume that the system is homogeneous along the *y* axis. Then, the central point is the *x* and *t* dependence of this exchange coupling, which is realized by domain-wall motion along the *x* axis with velocity *v* in the ferromagnet layer. It is reasonable to set

$$M(x,t) = m \sin[(x - vt)/\lambda]$$
(2)

to describe a domain-wall lattice, where *m* is a numerical constant characterizing the strength of a single domain. We define  $\omega = v/\lambda$ .

#### **III. STATIC SOLUTION**

The sinusoidal behavior of M(x,t) leads to a series of zero-field points periodically. As particle-hole symmetry is respected, according to Jackiw and Rebbi's work, zero modes appear around these points. In order to derive the explicit expression of the zero modes here, let us start from the time-dependent Schrödinger equation



FIG. 1. (Color online) Illustration of an experimental setup of current modulator on the surface of a topological insulator.



FIG. 2. (Color online) We illustrate the magnetization due to the magnetic superlattice M(x) (dashed curve) and the static solutions  $|\psi(x)|^2$  associated with it (solid curve), (a) for the + sign and (b) for the - sign in Eq. (6). The horizontal line represents the *x* axis. We have set  $\mu_B m \lambda / (\hbar v_F) = 5$ .

$$i\hbar\partial_t\Psi = H\Psi,\tag{3}$$

where we have set the wave function as  $\Psi = (\psi_{\uparrow}, \psi_{\downarrow})^t$ . We may set  $k_y = \text{constant}$  in Eq. (1) due to the translational invariance along the y axis.

We first study the static case where  $\omega = 0$ . Particle-hole symmetry guarantees the existence of zero-energy solutions with the relation  $\psi \equiv \psi_{\uparrow} = \pm i \psi_{\downarrow}$ . When  $k_y = 0$ , the equation of motion is transformed into

$$\mu_B M(x)\psi = \pm \hbar v_F \partial_x \psi. \tag{4}$$

We may solve it as<sup>7</sup>

$$\psi_{\pm}(x) = \exp\left[\pm \frac{\mu_B}{\hbar v_F} \int_0^x M(x') dx'\right],\tag{5}$$

which yields

$$\psi_{\pm}(x) = \exp\left[\pm \frac{\mu_B m \lambda}{\hbar v_F} \cos \frac{x}{\lambda}\right] \tag{6}$$

up to a normalization constant. We illustrate the magnetization and  $|\psi(x)|^2$  for these two cases in Fig. 2.

We may also present solutions for the nonzero k case. The two solutions have opposite chirality, so their group velocity along y direction is opposite as well. The wave function is a linear combination of  $\psi_+(x)$ ,

$$\Psi = e^{ik_y y} \Psi_+ + e^{-ik_y y} \Psi_- \tag{7}$$

with Eq. (6).

## IV. MOVING DOMAIN WALL

We start with the investigation of the domain-wall motion described by Eq. (2). The sinusoidal potential has a double periodicity,

$$M(x+L,t) = M(x,t), \quad M(x,t+T) = M(x,t),$$
 (8)

where  $L=2\pi\lambda$  and  $T=2\pi\lambda/v$ . To such a system both the Bloch theorem and the Floquet theorem are applicable. The wave function is of the form  $\psi_{\alpha}(x,t)=e^{i\varepsilon_{\alpha}t+ik_{\alpha}x}u_{\alpha}(x,t)$  with  $u_{\alpha}(x+L,t)=u_{\alpha}(x,t)$  and  $u_{\alpha}(x,t)=u_{\alpha}(x,t+T)$ . Then it is enough to analyze the torus region  $0 \le x < L$ ,  $0 \le t < T$ .

First we investigate the case where the velocity of the moving lattice is low,  $v < v_F$ , or  $\omega < \omega_c$  with  $\omega_c = v_F / \lambda$ . We have carried out a numerical analysis of the time-dependent



FIG. 3. (Color online) We show numerical solutions of the timedependent Dirac equation with the sinusoidal potential for [(a) and (a')]  $\omega/\omega_c=0.25$ , [(b) and (b')]  $\omega/\omega_c=3$ , and [(c) and (c')]  $\omega/\omega_c$ =10. The vertical axis is  $|\psi(x,t)|^2$ . The horizontal axes are *x* and *t*. (a), (b), and (c) are bird's eyes view and (a'), (b'), and (c') are their contour plot. We also present analytic solutions in (a") and (c"), which are valid  $\omega/\omega_c \ll 1$  and  $\omega/\omega_c \gg 1$ , respectively. The figures are plotted in the region 0 < x < L, 0 < t < T for the adiabatic case and in the region 0 < x < L, 0 < t < T for the nonadiabatic case, where  $T_c = (\omega/\omega_c)T$ . We have started from the static solution with  $\mu_Bm\lambda/(\hbar v_F)=1$ .

Dirac equation, starting from a static solution, whose results we give in Figs. 3(a) and 3(a'), where we have set  $\omega/\omega_c$  =0.25. We find that the zero mode moves together with the magnetic lattice, and it is adiabatically pumped.

It is possible to derive the analytic solution based on the adiabatic approximation,

$$\psi_{\pm}(x,t) = \exp\left[\pm \frac{\mu_B m \lambda}{\hbar v_F} \cos \frac{(x-vt)}{\lambda}\right],\tag{9}$$

which is constructed by making the Galilei boost of the static solution [Eq. (5)], see Fig. 3(a"). This solution is valid when the velocity is very low. There are fluctuations in  $|\psi(x,t)|$  in the case of the numerical calculation, which is absent in the analytic one. This is because the adiabatic solution in Eq. (9) is not an exact solution of Eq. (3). In any case, if we introduce the function  $P_n(t)$  representing the position of  $|\psi(x,t)|$ 's *n*th peak at time *t* in unit of  $\lambda$ , we have

$$P_n(T) - P_n(0) = 1 \tag{10}$$

for  $\omega < \omega_c$ . In that sense, the zero mode is adiabatically pumped along *x* direction as long as the velocity of domain-wall motion is smaller than the Fermi velocity. As the Fermi velocity for topological insulator is generally very large, this requirement is usually satisfied. Due to the periodicity along



FIG. 4. (Color online) Schematic diagram of (a) the adiabatic and (b) the nonadiabatic pumping. One transverse current is pumped in the time T in the adiabatic pumping, while one transverse current is pumped in the time  $T_c$  in the nonadiabatic pumping. Numerical results for the nonadiabatic pumping for the period (c) [0,T] and (d)  $[0,T_c]$ .

x direction,  $P_n(t)$  actually does not depend on n, and the subscript is neglected in the following.

It is also interesting to investigate the case where the velocity of the moving lattice is fast,  $v > v_F$ , or  $\omega > \omega_c$ . We have carried out a numerical analysis, whose results we give in Figs. 3(b) and 3(b'), 3(c) and 3(c'), where we have set  $\omega/\omega_c=3$  and 10. Figures 3(b) and 3(b') are plotted in the region 0 < t < T, while Figs. 3(c) and 3(c') are plotted in the region  $0 < t < T_c$  with  $T_c = (\omega/\omega_c)T$ .

In the large  $\omega$  limit, we give also an analytic solution. Since the magnetization oscillates very quickly, we can approximate the system by the one-period time-averaged equation of motion,<sup>13</sup>

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi = \bar{H}\psi,\tag{11}$$

where

$$\bar{H} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T H(x,t) dt.$$
(12)

The equation of motion is rewritten as

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi = -i\hbar v_{\rm F}(\partial_x\sigma_x + \partial_y\sigma_y)\psi \tag{13}$$

or

$$\partial_t^2 \psi = v_{\rm F}^2 (\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2) \psi. \tag{14}$$

It does not depend on  $\omega$ . The solution is given by  $[\psi(x-v_Ft)+\psi(x+v_Ft)]/2$  with Eq. (6), which we display in Fig. 3(c"). The agreement is good between the analytic and the numerical solutions when the velocity is large  $(\omega/\omega_c > 10)$  (Fig. 4).

The pumping rate is independent of  $\omega$ . It implies that one transverse current is pumped in the period which is not T but  $T_{\rm c}$ ,



FIG. 5. (Color online)  $\omega$  dependence of P(T) - P(0). The horizontal axis is  $\omega$  and the vertical axis is P(T) - P(0).

$$P(T_{\rm c}) - P(0) = 1. \tag{15}$$

The primitive torus  $0 \le t \le T$  has been extended to  $0 \le t \le T_c$ . As a result, the pumping transverse current in one period *T* is antiproportional to the frequency,

$$P(T) - P(0) = \omega_c / \omega. \tag{16}$$

The reason of this imperfect pumping is that the transverse current cannot follow the magnetic lattice because it moves too fast.

A comment is in order. Since it is hard to move domain walls faster than the Fermi velocity  $(v > v_F)$  experimentally at the present stage, only the adiabatic pumping case is experimentally realistic. Nevertheless the nonadiabatic case is theoretically very interesting, as we have shown.

In order to analyze the transition between the adiabatic and the nonadiabatic pumping, we calculate the pumping transverse current numerically. The pumping rate is estimated from the velocity of the position where  $|\psi_{\uparrow}(x,t)|$  takes the maximum value at t=T. We show the numerical solution in Fig. 5. It is seen that the pumping transverse current is well described by

$$P(T) - P(0) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ for } \omega < \omega_{c} \\ \omega_{c}/\omega \text{ for } \omega \ge \omega_{c} \end{cases} .$$
(17)

The analytic and the numerical solutions show a good agreement. The change between the adiabatic and the nonadiabatic transverse current pumping takes place rather suddenly. It may be a kind of a nonequilibrium phase transition.

It is an interesting property that the two zero modes in one period of the magnetic superlattice have opposite chiralities. These two conducting channels have tendency to transport opposite currents. We propose three types of devices.

(a) When we do not apply the current into the ferromagnet, the magnetic superlattice is static. We apply an alternating voltage between two leads parallel to the domain wall. The resulting current is rectified into a direct current,

$$J_{\text{out}} = \max[J_{\text{in}}, 0], \qquad (18)$$

because the zero-energy conducting channel is chiral and hence only the forward bias current goes through. This system rectifies alternating currents to direct currents or acts as a diode.

(b) When we apply the current into the ferromagnet, the domain wall moves.<sup>14</sup> We apply the direct voltage between two leads. The resulting current is modulated to be a pulse current,

$$J_{+}(t) = \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{\hbar}{2mi}\Psi_{+}^{\dagger}\partial_{y}\Psi_{+}\right] = \frac{\hbar k_{y}}{2m} \exp\left[2\frac{\mu_{B}m\lambda}{\hbar v_{F}}\cos\frac{(x-vt)}{\lambda}\right],$$
(19)

because the zero energy conducting channel passes through the contact periodically according to the motion of domain walls. This system acts as a pulse generator.

(c) When we apply an alternating voltage to the same setup with (b), the resulting signal between two devices is an alternating pulse. This current is given by

$$J(t) = \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{\hbar}{2mi}\Psi^{\dagger}\partial_{y}\Psi\right] = \frac{\hbar k_{y}}{m}\operatorname{sinh}\left[2\frac{\mu_{B}m\lambda}{\hbar v_{F}}\cos\frac{(x-vt)}{\lambda}\right]$$
(20)

and is shown in Fig. 6. This system acts as an alternating pulse generator.

It will be possible to measure these currents by attaching leads to the topological insulator parallel to the domain wall as in Fig. 1.

#### **V. CONCLUSION**

In this Brief Report, we have studied the dynamics of the zero mode in the presence of a magnetic superlattice on top of a topological insulator, which is described by a timedependent Dirac equation. The domain-wall motion of the superlattice is studied. We have proposed a prototype of electronic device based on this theoretical study, where the input



FIG. 6. (Color online) We show the time dependence of transverse current. (a) current rectifier, (b) pulse generator, and (c) alternating pulse generator. We have set  $\mu_B m \lambda / (\hbar v_F) = 10$ .

current is found to be significantly modulated by the magnetic superlattice (Fig. 1). Although it may be hard to realize our setup experimentally at this stage, we hope this work can promote applications of topological insulator in future.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture under Grant No. 20940011.

- <sup>1</sup>C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 146802 (2005); **95**, 226801 (2005).
- <sup>2</sup>B. A. Bernevig, T. L. Hughes, and S.-C. Zhang, Science **314**, 1757 (2006); X.-L. Qi, T. L. Hughes, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 195424 (2008).
- <sup>3</sup>D. Hsieh, D. Qian, L. Wray, Y. Xia, Y. S. Hor, R. J. Cava, and M. Z. Hasan, Nature (London) **452**, 970 (2008); Y. Xia, D. Qian, D. Hsieh, L. Wray, A. Pal, H. Lin, A. Bansil, D. Grauer, Y. S. Hor, R. J. Cava, and M. Z. Hasan, Nat. Phys. **5**, 398 (2009).
- <sup>4</sup>X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Today **63** (1), 33 (2010).
- <sup>5</sup>X.-L. Qi, R. Li, J. Zang, and S.-C. Zhang, Science **323**, 1184 (2009).
- <sup>6</sup>L. Fu and C. L. Kane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 096407 (2008).
- <sup>7</sup>R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, Phys. Rev. D **13**, 3398 (1976).
- <sup>8</sup>D. Hsieh, Y. Xia, L. Wray, D. Qian, A. Pal, J. H. Dil, F. Meier,

J. Osterwalder, G. Bihlmayer, C. L. Kane, Y. S. Hor, R. J. Cava, and M. Z. Hasan, Science **323**, 919 (2009); A. Nishide, A. A. Taskin, Y. Takeichi, T. Okuda, A. Kakizaki, T. Hirahara, K. Na-katsuji, F. Komori, Y. Ando, and I. Matsuda, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 041309(R) (2010).

- <sup>9</sup>Y. Zhang, K. He, C.-Z. Chang, C.-L. Song, L. Wang, X. Chen, J. Jia, Z. Fang, X. Dai, W.-Y. Shan, S.-Q. Shen, Q. Niu, X. Qi, S.-C. Zhang, X. Ma, and Q.-K. Xue (unpublished).
- <sup>10</sup>T. Yokoyama, Y. Tanaka, and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. B 81, 121401(R) (2010).
- <sup>11</sup>L. Fu and C. L. Kane, Phys. Rev. B 74, 195312 (2006).
- <sup>12</sup>X.-L. Qi, T. L. Hughes, and S.-C. Zhang, Nat. Phys. **4**, 273 (2008).
- <sup>13</sup>R. T. M'Closkey, Syst. Control Lett. **32**, 179 (1997).
- <sup>14</sup>A. Yamaguchi, T. Ono, S. Nasu, K. Miyake, K. Mibu, and T. Shinjo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 077205 (2004).